

NIH Public Access Author Manuscript

J Am Chem Soc. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2011 March 17.

Published in final edited form as:

J Am Chem Soc. 2010 March 17; 132(10): 3295–3297. doi:10.1021/ja1004703.

Asymmetric Hydrovinylation of Unactivated Linear 1,3-Dienes

Rakesh K. Sharma and T. V. RajanBabu*

Department of Chemistry, The Ohio State University, 100 W. 18th Avenue, Columbus, OH 43210, USA

Abstract

Monosubstituted acyclic (*E*)-1,3-dienes undergo efficient hydrovinylation giving (*Z*)-3alkylhexa-1,4-dienes upon treatment with catalytic amounts of bidentate phosphine-CoCl₂ complexes {[$P \sim P$](CoCl₂)} and Me₃Al in an atmosphere of ethylene. The regioselectivity of the reaction (i.e., 1,4- or 1,2-addition) depends on the nature of the ligand and temperature at which the reaction is carried out. Complexes derived from (*RR*)-DIOP and (*SS*)-BDDP at -45 °C give very high enantioselectivities for several prototypical 1,3-dienes. In sharp contrast to the corresponding Ni(II)-catalyzed hydrovinylation, 1-aryl-substituted 1,3-dienes give almost exclusively achiral linear 1,4-addition products, unless the 2-position is also substituted. Solid-state structures of the precatalysts are presented.

> Rhodium-catalyzed linear codimerization of 1,3-butadiene and ethylene is an industrially important process for the synthesis of hexadienes.¹ Related iron2 and cobalt3 catalyzed heterodimerizations of substituted 1,3-butadienes and α-olefins have seen a resurgence of activity recently.4 Impressive advances in Fe- and Co-mediated polymerization reactions of alkenes have also been made.⁵ However, surprisingly little attention has been paid to the Cocatalyzed codimerization of ethylene with other alkenes in which chiral branched products are formed. Only reported examples pertain to the high-pressure Fe(0)-catalyzed heterodimerization of ethylene (hydrovinylation) with (E)-1,3-pentadiene (37% ee) and 2methyl-1,3-pentadiene $(31\% \text{ ee})^{2c}$ and a recently reported Co-catalyzed (also high pressure) hydrovinylation of styrene (50% ee).6 Both Ru7 and Ni-catalyzed8⁻¹⁰ hydrovinylation reaction of 1,3-dienes have been reported, even though high enantioselectivity has been realized only for very limited substrates.^{9,10} Conspicuously absent among these reports are synthetically useful asymmetric hydrovinylation reactions of unactivated linear 1,3-dienes, a class of readily available substrates for which few asymmetric catalyzed C-C bond-forming reactions are known.10b,¹¹ In this Communication we report our initial studies on the ligand effects on the Co(II)-catalyzed hydrovinylations of such 1,3-dienes which culminated in the discovery of a surprisingly simple method¹²,13 for an asymmetric variation of this reaction at ambient pressure of ethylene.

rajanbabu.1@osu.edu.

Supporting Information Available: Full experimental details for the preparation of complexes and hydrovinylation reactions, spectroscopic and chromatographic data for characterization of all compounds, Crystallographic Information File for the Co-complexes. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.



Our studies started with an examination of the codimerization of ethylene and (E)-1,3nonadiene (Eq 1, 1a, $R = C_5H_{11}$) with isolated complexes L_nCoX_2 (L = mono and bisphosphines; X = halogen) as catalysts in the presence of Lewis acids such as aluminum alkyls as promoters.^{1c} Initial scouting experiments revealed that CoCl₂ complexes with α, ω -bisdiphenylphosphinoalkanes $[Ph_2P (CH_2)_n PPh_2; n = 1-4]$ in the presence of Me₃Al (Co:Al = 1:3) in a mixture of CH_2Cl_2 and toluene (4:1) had the most potential as catalysts for this reaction.¹² In view of the previous report that Me₃Al was ineffective in the hydrovinylation of styrene,⁶ we were surprised to find that under the optimal reaction conditions excellent yield and selectivity in the hydrovinylation of **1a** and a number of other substrates can be realized as shown in Table 1 and Table 2. Thus **1a** undergoes hydrovinylation promoted by (dppb) CoCl₂ (dppb = 1,4-bis-diphenylphosphinobutane) and Me₃Al to yield 93% yield of a 1,4addition product 2a ($R = C_5H_{11}$, Z-isomer) (entry 1). Under these conditions no trace of a 1,2adduct 4a or any linear dimerization product 5a were formed as ascertained by NMR and gas chromatography.¹² The only contaminant ($\sim 7\%$) has been identified as the (E)-1,4-adduct, **3a** ($R = C_5H_{11}$). The product distribution is highly dependent on the *bis*-phosphine employed and the reaction conditions, especially the temperature. As shown in entry 2 (Table 1), (dppm) $CoCl_2$ (dppm = *bis*-diphenylphosphinomethane) at -20 °C gives mostly a mixture of the (E)-1,4-adduct **3a** (30%) and a 1,2-adduct **4a** (67%). Under these conditions, (dppe)CoCl₂, diphenylphosphinopropane) give the (Z)-1,4-adduct 2a as the major product in 70% and 75% yields, contaminated with what appears to be a linear dimer 5a (entries 3 and 4). At room temperature (dppp)₂CoCl₂ gives very little of 2a; instead a mixture of 3a [(E)-1,4-prdduct] and 4a [(E)-1,2-adduct] was formed (entry 5), suggesting that 2a might be a kinetic product. Similar reaction conditions using (Ph₃P)₂CoCl₂ as the catalyst led to polymerization of the diene (entry 6).

The optimized reaction conditions using (dppb)CoCl₂ have broad applicability as shown in Table 2 and Eq 2. Terminally substituted *E*-1,3-dienes (entries 1–5, Table 2) including (*E*)-1,3-pentadiene are excellent substrates for the Co-mediated hydrovinylations giving almost exclusively the (*Z*)-1,4-adducts (**2**) in yields exceeding 90%. A minor product in these reactions has been tentatively identified as the geometrical isomer **3** or a linear HV adduct **5**.¹² The former is easily identified by the presence of a quintet around ~ δ 2.61 and, the latter by a broad triplet at ~ δ 2.80. The reaction is compatible with functionalized dienes such as the benzyl

JAm Chem Soc. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2011 March 17.

Sharma and RajanBabu

CoCl₂, Me₃Al (Co:Al 1:3 ethylene (1 atm) -10°C 8(R = H)9(R = H)10 (R = H)11 (R = Me) 12 (R = Me) 13 (R = Me) 11 (R = Me 8 (R = H) L 9 10 12 13 dppm 83 dppm 99 (92) 0 dppp 99 (93) 0 dppp 62^b 0 71^{a,b} dppb 0 dppb 0 0 a -20 °C, b rest sm ^b rest sm

ether **1f**, and a 1,3-diene carrying a remote trisubstituted double bond (β -myrcene, **6**), which is not affected by the hydrovinylation of the terminal 1,3-diene functionality (entry 7).



Hydrovinylation of 1-aryl-substituted 1,3-butadienes behave differently, and parallels the trend recently seen in the dimerization of such dienes with terminal alkenes.^{4d} (*E*)-1-Phenyl-1,3-butadiene (**8**), a substrate that underwent facile Ni(II)-catalyzed hydrovinylation to give a 1,2-adduct (**9**) exclusively,^{10a} gives a linear 1,4-adduct **10** with both (dppp)₂CoCl₂ and (dppb)₂CoCl₂ (Eq 2). In sharp contrast, the corresponding dppm-complex gives **9** as the major HV product. (*E*)-2-Methyl-1-phenyl-1,3-butadiene (**11**) gives only a branched product (**12**) arising from a 1,2-addition at the less substituted double bond, irrespective of the Co complex used, even though it appears that ligands with larger bite angles retard the reaction.



Having realized synthetically useful chemo- regio- and diastereoselectivity in the hydrovinylations of simple 1,3-dienes using dppp and dppb, we turned our attention to the asymmetric reaction. Among the limited set of ligands that were explored, 14 (*RR*)-(2,2-dimethyl-1,3-dioxalane-4,5-diylbismethylene) *bis*-diphenylphosphine [(*RR*)-DIOP, **14**] and (*SS*)-2,4-*bis*-diphenylphosphinopentane [(*SS*)-BDPP, **15**], simplest chiral analogs of dppb and dppp that are commercially available, gave the best results (Table 3).

Under the optimized conditions (*E*)-1,3-nonadiene (**1a**) gave nearly quantitative yield of (*R*)-(*Z*)-4-vinylnon-2-ene (95% ee) upon reaction with [(*RR*)-DIOP]CoCl₂ and Me₃Al (Table 3, entry 1). At -45 °C where these reactions are carried out < 2% of isomeric products are observed. The identities of the hydrovinylation products were rigorously established by spectroscopic methods, and, in the case of **2e**, by comparison of observed¹² and reported¹⁵ properties.

The reaction appears to be quite general for dienes (entries 1-8) including (*E*)-1,3-pentadiene. Substrates with functional groups such as a benzyl ether **1f** (entries 9, 10) are tolerated in these highly enantioselective reactions. Not unexpectedly, substrate **1f** carrying a Lewis basic oxygen

JAm Chem Soc. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2011 March 17.

reacts sluggishly. Finally, a diene with aryl conjugation (e.g., 11) gave essentially a racemic product (entry 12).¹⁶

Mechanism of the Me₃Al-mediated hydrovinylation is currently under investigation. It is conceivable that under the non-reducing conditions5 used here (vis-à-vis the Hilt procedures4b-e which employ a Co(II)-salt with a reducing agent in the presence of a Lewis acid), a $[(L)Co(II)-H]^+$ (16) is the catalytic species.¹⁷ This species could be formed by metathesis of the Al-Me/Co-Cl bonds, migratory insertion of an alkene into Co-Me bond, followed by reductive elimination (Scheme 1). Addition of the Co-H via an n⁴-diene complex 17 would produce a syn-anti-(allyl)Co-species 18 which would undergo coupling with ethylene to give 20. Reductive elimination from 20 regenerates the catalyst giving the major product 2.¹⁸ Intermediacy of the η^3 -allylic intermediate (19), which is capable of syn/anti isomerization,¹⁹ would also explain the temperature dependence of the product distribution (entries 4 and 5 in Table 1). Note that none of the product 2a, which is the major component at -20 °C formed from the *syn-anti*-allyl intermediate **18**, is observed at 23 °C (entry 5). At low temperature where the asymmetric catalyzed reactions are carried out 18 must be configurationally stable to give the high selectivities observed. Consistent with the intermediacy of an η^4 -diene complex 17, in preliminary studies with a mixture of (E)-and (Z)-penta-1,3-diene, we have observed that the Z-isomer, which is expected to form this species slower, indeed turns over at a slower rate.¹²

As a prelude to further studies we have obtained solid state structures of the complexes (*RR*)-[DIOP]CoCl₂ and (*SS*)-[BDPP]CoCl₂, which are shown in Figure 1. These tetrahedral complexes with the bidentate ligands appear to overcome one of the serious limitations of catalysis by Ni(II)-complexes, where only monodentate ligands have been successful.²⁰ Cobalt with the possibility of higher coordination numbers can support more flexible geometries for the intermediates in the catalytic cycle.

Further studies to expand the scope of the reaction and to clarify its mechanism are currently underway.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

We thank Dr. Judith Gallucci for determination of the crystal structures shown in Fig 1. Financial assistance for this research by NSF (CHE-0610349) and NIH (General Medical Sciences, R01 GM075107) is gratefully acknowledged.

References and Notes

- (a) Chauvin, Y.; Olivier, H. Dimerization and Codimerization. In: Cornils, B.; Herrmann, WA., editors. Applied Homogeneous Catalysis with Organometallic Compounds. Vol. Vol. 1. New York: VCH; 1996. p. 258-268.(b) Parshall, GS.; Ittel, SD. Homogeneous Catalysis. New York: Wiley; 1992. p. 76-78. (c) Su ACL. Adv. Organomet. Chem 1979;17:269. (d) Alderson T, Jenner EL, Lindsey RV Jr. J. Am. Chem. Soc 1965;87:5638.
- (a) Hata G. J. Am. Chem. Soc 1964;86:3903. (b) Iwamoto M, Yuguchi S. J. Org. Chem 1966;31:4290.
 (c) Ehlers J, König WA, Lutz S, Wenz G, tom Dieck H. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl 1988;27:1556.
- (a) Wittenberg D. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl 1964;3:153. (b) Iwamoto M, Yuguchi S. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn 1968;41:150. (c) Kagawa T, Inoue Y, Hashimoto H. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn 1970;43:1250.
- Fe: (a) Moreau B, Wu JY, Ritter T. Org. Let 2009;11:337. [PubMed: 19093845] Co: (b) Hilt G, du Mesnil F-X, Lüers S. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl 2001;40:387. [PubMed: 11180333] (c) Hilt G, Lüers

S, Schmidt F. Synthesis 2003:634. (d) Hilt G, Danz M, Treutwein J. Org. Lett 2009;11:3322. [PubMed: 19583205] (e) Hilt G, Treutwein J. Chem. Commun 2009:1395.

- 5. (a) Small BL, Brookhart M, Bennett MA. J. Am. Chem. Soc 1998;120:4049. (b) Britovsek GJP, Bruce M, Gibson VC, Kimberley BS, Maddox PJ, Mastroianni S, McTavish SJ, Redshaw C, Solan GA, Strömberg S, White AJP, Williams DJ. J. Am. Chem. Soc 1999;121:8728. (c) Takeuchi D, Matsuura R, Park S, Osakada K. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2007;129:7002. [PubMed: 17497787]
- 6. (a) Grutters MMP, Müller C, Vogt D. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2006;128:7414. [PubMed: 16756275] (b) Grutters MMP, van der Vlugt JI, Pei Y, Mills AM, Lutz M, Spek AL, Müller C, Moberg C, Vogt D. Adv. Synth. Catal 2009;351:2199.
- (a) He Z, Yi CS, Donaldson WA. Org. Lett 2003;5:1567. [PubMed: 12713325] (b) He Z, Yi CS, Donaldson WA. Synlett 2004:1312. (c) RajanBabu TV, Nomura N, Jin J, Nandi M, Park H, Sun X. J. Org. Chem 2003;68:8431. [PubMed: 14575468] (d) Sanchez RP, Connell BT. Organometallics 2008;27:2902.
- 8. Buono G, Siv C, Peiffer G, Triantaphylides C, Denis P, Mortreux A, Petit F. J. Org. Chem 1985;50:1781.
- 9. (a) Zhang A, RajanBabu TV. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2006;128:54. [PubMed: 16390118] (b) Saha B, Smith CR, RajanBabu TV. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2008;130:9000. [PubMed: 18570419]
- Smith CR, RajanBabu TV. Org. Lett 2008;10:1657. [PubMed: 18351772] (b) Nickel(II)-catalyzed asymmetric hydrovinylation of acylic 1-alkyl-1,3-dienes under successful protocols we have used for other substrates leads only to a complex mixture of products (C. R. Smith unpublished results)
- For example, see: (a) Cyclopropanation: Doyle, M. In Catalytic Asymmetric Synthesis. Ojima, I., editor. New York: Wiley-VCH; 2000. (b) In *Advances in Cycloaddition*; Davies, HML., editor. Vol. Vol. 5. Greenwich: JAI Press; 1998. p. 119-164. (c) Ene reaction: Terada M, Mikami K. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun 1995:2391. (d) Hydroformylation: Horiuchi T, Ohta T, Shirakawa E, Nozaki K, Takaya H. Tetrahedron 1997;53:7795. (e) Hydrocyanation: Saha B, RajanBabu TV. Org. Lett 2006;8:4657. [PubMed: 16986974]
- 12. See Supporting Information for the details of experimental procedures.
- 13. Typical procedure for Co-catalyzed asymmetric hydrovinylation. An oven-dried 10 mL roundbottom flask with a sidearm, was charged (RR)-[DIOP]CoCl₂ (12.6 mg, 0.0201 mmol) under argon in a mixture of degassed dichloromethane (2.0 mL) and toluene (0.5 mL), at 0 °C. Trimethylaluminum solution (2M) in toluene (4.3 mg, 30 µl, 0.060 mmol) was added dropwise as color of the solution changed from deep blue to red-brown with the formation of white fumes over the solution. When all the fumes disappeared (typically in 5–10 min), the reaction vessel was carefully evacuated and then refilled with ethylene from a balloon. The filled balloon was used to maintain the ethylene atmosphere, while a vigorous reaction with evolution of fumes was observed. This evolution stopped in typically 3–5 min. The reaction vessel was cooled to -45 °C and (3*E*)-nona-1,3-diene (50 mg, 0.40 mmol) was added under ethylene and the mixture was stirred for 6 h (color of the reaction solution turned blue again at the end of the reaction). The ethylene balloon was removed and 0.1 mL of methanol was introduced into the flask and stirring was continued for 5 minutes. The solution was warmed to room temperature and was subsequently passed through a silica plug. The plug was washed with pentane. Concentration and removal of last traces of solvent yielded the product as a colorless oil (58 mg, 95%). Isomeric compositions were determined by gas chromatography and NMR spectroscopy (See attached chromatograms and spectra).
- 14. For a complete list see Supporting Information.
- 15. Assignment of the absolute configuration of **2e** was initially done by comparison of chromatographic behavior on a cyclodex-B column. It is known that for this hydrocarbon, the levorotatory (S) isomer has a longer retention time.^{2c} Configurations of other similar compounds (**2**) were assigned by analogy. All major enantiomers obtained from (*RR*)-DIOP have the same sense of rotation (–). See Supporting Information.
- 16. Under these conditions hydrovinylation of 4-methylstyrene {using (*RR*)-[DIOP]CoCl₂} gave 3-(4-methylphenyl)-1-butene in 18% ee (R).
- (a) For discussions of cationic Co(II)-hydrides, see: Ciancanelli R, Noll BC, DuBois DL, DuBois MR. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2002;124:2984. [PubMed: 11902890] (b) Tellmann KP, Gibson VC, White AJP, Williams DJ. Organometallics 2005;24:280.

J Am Chem Soc. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2011 March 17.

- For a general discussion of allyl-metal isomerizations, see: Consiglio G, Waymouth RM. Chem. Rev 1989;89:257. For a discussion of the relative stabilities of syn/anti η³-allylic Ni-complexes, see: Tolman CA. J. Am. Chem. Soc 1970;92:6777.
- 20. For recent reviews of Ni-catalyzed hydrovinylation, see: RajanBabu TV. Synlett 2009:853. [PubMed: 19606231] RajanBabu TV. Chem. Rev 2003;103:2845. [PubMed: 12914483]





NIH-PA Author Manuscript

J Am Chem Soc. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2011 March 17.

Sharma and RajanBabu



Scheme 1. A Possible Mechanism of Co(II)-Catalyzed Hydrovinylation of 1,3-Dienes

| ~ |
|--------------|
| |
| |
| |
| _ |
| |
| _ |
| |
| _ |
| - U |
| - |
| |
| |
| - |
| - |
| |
| |
| - |
| |
| _ |
| _ |
| _ |
| _ |
| \mathbf{O} |
| \mathbf{U} |
| _ |
| |
| _ |
| ~ |
| ~ |
| _ |
| 0 |
| 2 |
| _ |
| |
| _ |
| <u> </u> |
| |
| CD |
| ~ |
| 0 |
| ~ |
| _ |
| |
| 7 |
| |
| |
| ¥ |

Table 1

| Z |
|-------|
| -PA |
| Auth |
| or Ma |
| nusc |
| ript |

| 2 | | | | | |
|----------|-------------|------|---------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| | d~d | T °C | 2a (1,4-Z) | 3a (1,4- <i>E</i>) | 4a (1,2- <i>E</i>) |
| <u> </u> | dppb | -10 | 93 | 7 | 0 |
| 7 | dppm | -20 | <2 | 30 | 67 |
| $3.^{c}$ | dppe | -10 | 70 | 0 | 0 |
| 4.c | dddp | -20 | 75 | 0 | 0 |
| 5.0 | dddp | 23 | 0 | 26 | 65 |
| 6. | $2 \ Ph_3P$ | -10 | ł | | : |

5a (1,4linear) 0 10 14 14 Page 9

| _ |
|--------------|
| |
| ~ |
| _ |
| _ |
| |
| _ |
| |
| _ |
| U |
| - |
| D |
| |
| |
| ~ |
| |
| _ |
| |
| _ |
| _ |
| _ |
| _ |
| \mathbf{O} |
| <u> </u> |
| _ |
| |
| _ |
| < |
| - |
| ^ |
| 5 |
| _ |
| |
| _ |
| C |
| |
| S |
| ~ |
| \mathbf{C} |
| ~ |
| <u> </u> |
| |
| O |
| <u> </u> |
| |

Sharma and RajanBabu

Table 2

| Substrates ⁶ |
|-------------------------|
| of |
| Scope |
| ienes. |
| _ |
| Ϋ́. |
| 3-D |
| 1,3-D |
| of 1,3-D |
| vlation of 1,3-D |
| /drovinylation of 1,3-D |

| • | 3 | • | | | | |
|----------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|------------|-----------|
| Ë | alkene/R in 1 | d~d | | product, yield b | | |
| | | | 2 | 3 | 4 | o, |
| <u>-</u> | C ₅ H ₁₁ (1a) | qddp | 93 (>93) | 7 | 0 | 0 |
| 5. | C_6H_{13} (1b) | dppb | 95 (>99) | 0 | 0 | <2 |
| з. | C_7H_{15} (1c) | dppb | 95 (>99) | 0 | 0 | ^2 |
| 4. | C_8H_{17} (1d) | dppb | 95 (>99) | <u>~1</u> | 0 | 0 |
| 5. | CH ₃ (1e) | dppb | (>95) ^c | (<5) | <i>p</i> - | 0 |
| 6. | $BnOCH_2CH_2$ (1f) | dppb | 78¢ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7. | β -myrcene (6) | dppb | 0 | 0 | 0 | Ĵ |
| ^a See E | a 1 and Supporting In | uformation for details. | | | | |
| b _{Isolaté} | d yield (in brackets a | tre yields estimated by | GC and NMR). | | | |
| c Volati | le products. | | | | | |

J Am Chem Soc. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2011 March 17.

d same as **3**. e At 0 °C. $f_{\rm Only\ 1,4-linear\ product\ (7)\ is\ formed\ in\ 78\%\ yield.\ 7:\ [(Z)-2-methyl-6-(3-propenyl)-octa-2,6-diene].$

Table 3

Co-Catalyzed Asymmetric Hydrovinylation of 1,3-Dienes^a

| | | | I | product |
|-----|--------------------------------------------|-----------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|
| no. | diene/R in 1 | P~P | yield (%) ^b | %ee (config.) ^c |
| 1. | $C_{5}H_{11}(1a)$ | (RR)-DIOP | >99 (95) | 95.0 (<i>S</i>) |
| 2. | $C_{5}H_{11}\left(\boldsymbol{1a}\right)$ | (SS)-DIOP | >99 (96) | 93.3 (<i>R</i>) |
| 3. | $C_{5}H_{11}\left(\boldsymbol{1a}\right)$ | (SS)-BDPP | >99 (96) | 97.1 (<i>R</i>) |
| 4. | $C_{6}H_{13}\left(\boldsymbol{1b}\right)$ | (RR)-DIOP | >99 (96) | 95.3 (<i>S</i>) |
| 5. | $C_{7}H_{15}(1c)$ | (RR)-DIOP | >99 (98) | 95.4 (<i>S</i>) |
| 6. | $C_{8}H_{17}\left(\boldsymbol{1d}\right)$ | (RR)-DIOP | >99 (95) | 96.1 (<i>S</i>) |
| 7. | CH ₃ (1e) | (RR)-DIOP | >90 ^d | 90.1 (<i>S</i>) |
| 8. | CH ₃ (1e) | (SS)-DIOP | >90d | 89.1 (<i>R</i>) |
| 9. | $BnOCH_{2}CH_{2}\left(\mathbf{1f}\right)$ | (RR)-DIOP | (40) ^e | 99.0 (<i>S</i>) |
| 10. | $BnOCH_{2}CH_{2}\left(\mathbf{1f}\right)$ | (SS)-DIOP | (40) ^e | 96.0 (<i>R</i>) |
| 12. | alkene 11 (Eq 2) | (SS)-BDPP | >99 | <5% (-) |

^aSee Eq 1. 0.05 equiv. (**P**~**P**)CoCl₂, Co:Al 1:3; ethylene (1 atm); solvent (CH₂Cl₂:tol = 4:1); -45 °C; 6 h.

^bDetermined by GC; isolated yield in brackets.

^cConfiguration of **2e** assigned by comparison of optical rotation and relative retention times on a β-cyclodex chiral stationary phase GC column.12[,] ^{2c} Others (all of same sense of $[\alpha]_D$) assigned by analogy; see Supporting Information for details.

^dVolatile products.

 e Reaction done at -20 °C, rest starting materials.