



This is a repository copy of *Shear stress rosettes capture the complex flow physics in diseased arteries*.

White Rose Research Online URL for this paper:
<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/157805/>

Version: Accepted Version

Article:

Krishna, C.V., Suja, V.C., Watton, P. orcid.org/0000-0002-5531-5953 et al. (2 more authors) (2020) Shear stress rosettes capture the complex flow physics in diseased arteries. *Journal of Biomechanics*, 104. 109721. ISSN 0021-9290

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiomech.2020.109721>

Article available under the terms of the CC-BY-NC-ND licence
(<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

Reuse

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs (CC BY-NC-ND) licence. This licence only allows you to download this work and share it with others as long as you credit the authors, but you can't change the article in any way or use it commercially. More information and the full terms of the licence here: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/>

Takedown

If you consider content in White Rose Research Online to be in breach of UK law, please notify us by emailing eprints@whiterose.ac.uk including the URL of the record and the reason for the withdrawal request.



eprints@whiterose.ac.uk
<https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/>

1 Shear Stress Rosettes Capture the Complex Flow Physics in Diseased
2 Arteries

3 C. Vamsi Krishna^{1,a+}, Vineeth Chandran Suja^{1,b}, Paul N Watton^{c,d},

4 Jaywant H Arakeri^a, and Namrata Gundiah^{a*}

5 ^aDepartment of Mechanical Engineering, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore, India 560012

6 ^bDepartment of Chemical Engineering, Stanford University, Stanford, California 94305-5025

7 ^cDepartment of Computer Science & INSIGNEO Institute for In Silico Medicine, University
8 of Sheffield, Sheffield, UK.

9 ^dDepartment of Mechanical Engineering and Materials Science, University of Pittsburgh,
10 Pittsburgh, US

11 * For correspondence:

12 **Namrata Gundiah**

13 Department of Mechanical Engineering, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore 560012.

14 **Email:** namrata@iisc.ac.in, ngundiah@gmail.com **Tel (Off/ Lab):** 91 80 2293 2860/ 3366

15
16 ¹ These authors contributed equally

17
18 + Present address:

19 Department of Aerospace and Mechanical Engineering, University of Southern California –
20 Los Angeles, California - 90089

21
22 *Resubmitted to Journal of Biomechanics 3 January, 2020*

23

1 **Abstract**

2 Wall shear stress (WSS) is an important parameter in arterial mechanobiology. Various flow
3 metrics, such as time averaged WSS (TAWSS), oscillatory shear index (OSI), and transWSS,
4 have been used to characterize and relate possible WSS variations in arterial diseases like
5 aneurysms and atherosclerosis. We use a graphical representation of WSS using shear
6 rosettes to map temporal changes in the flow dynamics during a cardiac cycle at any spatial
7 location on the vessel surface. The presence of secondary flows and flow reversals can be
8 interpreted directly from the shape of the shear rosette. The mean WSS is given by the rosette
9 centroid, the OSI by the splay around the rosette origin, and the transWSS by its width. We
10 define a new metric, anisotropy ratio (AR), as the ratio of the length to width of the shear
11 rosette to capture flow bi-directionality. We characterized the flow physics in controls and
12 patient specific geometries of the ascending aorta (AA) and internal carotid artery (ICA)
13 which have fundamentally different flow dynamics due to differences in the Reynolds and
14 Womersley numbers. The differences in the flow dynamics are well reflected in the shapes
15 of the WSS rosettes and the corresponding flow metrics.

16

17 **KEYWORDS:** arterial flows, secondary flows and bidirectionality, shear metrics, aneurysms

18

1 **1. Introduction**

2 Arterial curvature, coupled with flow pulsatility (Berger *et al* 1996), results in
3 formation of a rich variety of vortical structures (Sudo *et al* 1992; Timité, Castelain and
4 Peerhossaini 2010; Jarrahi *et al* 2011; Glenn *et al* 2012; Bulusu and Plesniak 2013), flow
5 reversals (Chandran and Yearwood 1981; Yearwood and Chandran 1982; Talbot and Gong
6 1983; Komai and Tanishita 1997), flow separations (Talbot and Gong 1983; Hamakiotes and
7 Berger 1988), and WSS stagnation/ fixed points (Arzani *et al* 2016; Arzani and Shadden
8 2018). Hemodynamics plays a fundamental role in the initiation, growth, and rupture of
9 atherosclerotic plaques and aneurysms (Ku 1997; Chatzizisis *et al* 2007; Chien 2007; Chiu
10 and Chien 2011; Chen *et al* 2013; Robertson and Watton 2013; Baeyens and Schwartz 2016;
11 Baeyens *et al* 2016). Wall shear stress (WSS), imposed on the endothelial cell (EC) layer
12 lining the arterial lumen, is a critical factor in maintaining vascular homeostasis *via* the
13 transduction of mechanical signals into specific biochemical pathways (Caro *et al* 1971;
14 Zarins *et al* 1983; Asakura and Karino 1990; Malek *et al* 1999). Regions of low WSS creates
15 complex hemodynamics that induces the creation of oxygen free radicals in addition to
16 apoptosis and migration of the vascular smooth muscle cells in the arterial wall (Penn *et al*
17 2011). Elevated WSS and high positive spatial WSS gradient are both implicated in the
18 initiation and rupture of aneurysms (Kulcsár *et al* 2011).

19 Various shear metrics have been used to characterize possible links between
20 hemodynamic stimuli and vessel dysfunction. Oscillatory Shear Index (OSI), Aneurysm
21 Formation Indicator (AFI), Time Averaged Wall Shear Stress (TAWSS), Relative Residence
22 Time (RRT), and gradients in the WSS are some of the many indices, primarily defined based
23 on unidirectional flows, which are used to describe aneurysm growth rates (Mantha *et al*
24 2006). A few metrics, such as Directional Oscillatory Shear Index (DOSI) and transWSS, are
25 based on bi-directional WSS (Chakraborty *et al* 2012; Peiffer *et al* 2013; Peiffer *et al* 2013;

1 ~~Wang *et al* 2013; Morbiducci *et al* 2015~~). Each of the different shear metrics describe
2 different features of the complex changes in WSS. Polar plots or shear rosettes, showing
3 variations in the WSS at a given spatial location as a function of time, provide a more
4 complete and useful representation of the flow physics as compared to shear metrics alone.
5 Suo and colleagues were among the early researchers to present polar plots to explore the
6 differences in the magnitude and direction of the WSS in atherogenesis (Suo *et al*, 2007).
7 Their data showed the importance of changes in the WSS magnitude or direction which
8 correspond with higher expression of atherogenic proteins. More recent studies in orbital
9 shakers use shear rosettes to quantify the role of shear stress in the radial and tangential
10 directions in endothelial cell morphology (Potter *et al*, 2011; Weinberg *et al*, 2017; Weinberg
11 *et al*, 2018). However, there has been little discussion and interpretation of the rosettes and
12 their relationship to the different shear metrics and to physics of arterial flows.

13 In this study, we show how the shape and size of the shear rosette **is a complementary**
14 **method that provides** ~~useful information on the complete details regarding the~~ WSS
15 ~~variations-environment~~ over a cardiac cycle. ~~The rosette is not a substitute for any proposed~~
16 ~~metrics in the literature but may be used to infer.~~ ~~We graphically relate the shear rosette to the~~
17 ~~directions and values of the~~ TAWSS ~~variations~~, mean WSS, OSI and transWSS metrics **at a**
18 **point in the flow during a cardiac cycle**. We compute flows in two different geometries of
19 arteries corresponding to a large artery, the ascending aorta and a small artery, **the internal**
20 **carotid artery (ICA)**. Flows in these vessels are different as they have significantly different
21 Reynolds numbers (Re) and Womersley number (α). We define the anisotropy ratio metric
22 (AR), intimately linked to the secondary flows, as an alternate method to capture the
23 bidirectionality in WSS and can be easily inferred from the **shapes of the shear rosettes by**
24 **taking the ratio of the length to width of the rosette**. **Changes in the endothelial morphologies**
25 **under steady, oscillating, and disturbed flow conditions are causally linked to differential**

1 inflammatory and atherogenic gene expression levels that lead to atherosclerotic plaque
2 formation (Chiu and Chien, 2011). The shear rosette may be used in studies to infer the
3 signaling pathways involved in the mechanosensing effects of shear stress magnitude, flow
4 frequency, and flow direction that contribute to athero-susceptibility (Baeyens and Schwartz,
5 2016). An understanding of the local flow conditions in arteries is a first step to exploring the
6 effects of mechanical factors on EC signalling that have the potential to aid in the diagnosis
7 and treatment options in cardiovascular disease (Chiu and Chien, 2011).

8 2. Methods

9 2.1 Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD) simulations in patient specific models of AA 10 and ICA

11 We include patient specific geometries of an aortic aneurysm (AA) and ICA and the
12 corresponding control geometries for both these vessels. CT data from a 54 year old male
13 patient undergoing thoracic aortic repair *via* a Bentall procedure at the Narayana Health
14 hospital in Bangalore, India was used to construct the geometry of AA based on methods
15 described in Appendix A (Figure 1b). Informed consent was obtained based on established
16 IRB approved protocols at the Institute and hospital. Control AA geometry, consisted of a
17 180° curved circular pipe of diameter 32 mm and curvature ratio, β (a/R) = 0.38 (Figure 1a).
18 We reconstructed a realistic model of ICA, sans sub-branches, using an open-source aneurisk
19 database (patient id: C0088b) to have a constant diameter from the centreline coordinates of
20 the artery (Aneurisk-Team, 2012). The control ICA geometry had a length of 72 mm from the
21 inlet, and curvatures in multiple planes such that the maximum curvature ratio \sim 0.7 (Figure
22 1c). We also used the geometry of an ICA from a patient with sidewall intracranial aneurysm
23 (Figure 1d) from the European @neurIST database (Villa-Uriol *et al* 2011, Chen *et al* 2013).
24 Typical Re , α , Dean's numbers and β in the AA are \sim 3000, 34, \sim 1900 and \sim 0.38 whereas
25 these are \sim 450, 3, \sim 400 and range from 0.3-0.7 for the ICA.

1 Reconstructed geometries were imported into ANSYS® ICEM CFD 13.0 (ANSYS
2 Inc., Canonsburg, Pennsylvania) and unstructured meshes were created (Appendix A). Walls
3 were assumed to be rigid, and the fluid was modelled to be Newtonian with constant density
4 ($\rho = 1055 \text{ kg/m}^3$) and dynamic viscosity ($\mu = 0.0049 \text{ Ns/m}^2$). We used mass flow
5 boundary condition at the inlet and constant pressure boundary condition at the outlet. The
6 volume flow rate in the AA geometries was specified (Figure 2a) corresponding to
7 physiological flow rates (Olufsen *et al* 2000). Regions marked blue correspond to the
8 acceleration, red the deceleration, and black, the dwell phase. Similarly, a physiological
9 volume flow rate corresponding to the ICA was specified at the inlets for the geometry
10 (Figure 1c) and are shown in Figure 2b. The dwell phase is clearly absent in the ICA case as
11 compared to AA which has dwell phase of 0.7s. The volume flow rate (inlet) and pressure
12 (outlet) waveforms used with the ICA were extracted from a 1D model of the artery from the
13 @neufuse software (Reymond *et al* 2009). The governing Navier-Stokes equations along
14 with the boundary conditions were solved using a commercial finite-volume based solver
15 (ANSYS® FLUENT 13.0). A time step of 10^{-3} s was used for all geometries and the criterion
16 for convergence for residuals was set below 10^{-5} for each of the continuity and the three
17 velocity components in each case. Grid independence studies were carried out based on the
18 following criterion: the RMS difference of WSS between successive meshes was less than
19 2% of the RMS of the WSS over one cardiac cycle. The number of cells were doubled until
20 this criterion was met. Flow cycle independence was also checked until the shear rosettes
21 were time periodic to eliminate the initial transient effects (details in Appendix A). Four
22 cardiac cycles were simulated and results corresponding to the last cycle are reported in this
23 study. Grid and flow cycle independence were achieved for the control aorta, ICA control,
24 and ICA aneurysm. The number of elements for each geometry are included in Appendix A.

25

3. Results and Discussion

There are two main contributions of this study. First, we map the fluid dynamics in AA and ICA geometries where flows are very different. Pulsatility is higher in AA whereas flow in smaller vessels, like ICA, are less pulsatile (Berger *et al* 1996). We show that the different flows features are better represented using shear rosettes. Rosette size gives a measure of the magnitude of WSS whereas its shape represents the bi-directionality extent. Second, we show that the shear rosette allows us to obtain a graphical understanding of the different hemodynamic metrics. Table I lists definitions of relevant metrics with brief descriptions.

3.1 Shear rosettes present a pictorial representation of the WSS

Figure 3 shows numerical simulations for control AA geometry ~~that were done using - We used~~ a toroidal coordinate system ~~where ψ direction is in the stream-wise direction and ϕ is in the azimuth (Appendix A)~~. Axial velocity contours with superimposed velocity vectors are shown on three transverse planes (P45, P90 & P135) and the medial plane for time points corresponding to peak velocity (Figure 3a) and at the end of systole (Figure 3b). Strong secondary flows along the walls, continually changing in time, and caused by an imbalance between the radial pressure gradient and centrifugal forces, are observed in the AA (Krishna *et al*, 2017). During the diastolic phase, flow separations occur on the inner wall which are clearly seen in the transverse plane (Figure 3b). Such separations are typical of large arteries with curvatures (Chandran and Yearwood, 1981; Hamakiotes and Berger, 1988).

Figure 4 shows the shear rosettes at select points on the plane marked P135 corresponding to flow in the control AA geometry at the 135° plane. ~~These points are individual grid elements in the geometry. WSS in a straight pipe with unsteady flow is aligned along the axial direction. Because of the presence of secondary flows and separations,~~

1 the shear stress in curved pipes need not be oriented along the axial direction. We choose two
2 local reference directions \hat{s} and \hat{b} to characterize the shear rosettes at a given point on the
3 wall. \hat{s} was defined as the WSS direction at the first time step of the first cardiac cycle from
4 the numerical simulations. \hat{b} was perpendicular to \hat{s} and is the local surface normal.
5 Secondary flows are absent at the start of the first cardiac cycle. Thus \hat{s} gives the direction of
6 flow before viscous effects manifest themselves. Other reference directions, such as the
7 direction of the average WSS, may also be chosen which would only change the orientation
8 of the rosette.

9 The WSS vector changes magnitude and direction through the cardiac cycle.
10 Component of the WSS vector in the axial direction (τ_s), marked 0° , is due to the axial flow
11 whereas the component of the WSS vector along \hat{b} (τ_b) is due to the secondary flows. In a
12 shear rosette, we plot the magnitude and direction of the WSS at each instant of the cardiac
13 cycle. Arrows in the rosette at location **H B** (Figure 1a) are at equally spaced time intervals
14 (Figure 4a). Rosette segments are color-coded for the different phases in the physiological
15 profile (Figure 2). Circles about the origin in the rosette plot indicate constant WSS
16 magnitudes. The principal directions are labelled '1' and '2'; τ_1 and τ_2 refer to the WSS
17 components in the principal directions (Appendix B).

18 ***3.2 Relationship between rosette and the various shear metrics for the AA geometry***

19 The secondary flow velocities in the AA are small during the initial phase of the
20 cardiac cycle (Krishna *et al*, 2017) and the WSS vectors at all spatial locations are hence
21 oriented in the axial direction, $\theta=0^\circ$. The angular position, indicated by θ , is the polar
22 coordinate in the shear rosette marked in the anticlockwise direction starting from the
23 reference direction, \hat{s} . WSS values are shown for each rosette in magenta. Filled circles are
24 also indicated corresponding to points representing the maximum acceleration (red),
25 deceleration (blue), and mean value of WSS (black) in each rosette. Tabulated values show

1 **the relevant flow metrics at these locations.** Changes in the WSS magnitude and direction at
2 point **H B** (Figure 4b) are due to strong secondary flows (Krishna *et al*, 2017). The various
3 shear metrics are indicated for this rosette. TAWSS is calculated as the time average of the
4 WSS magnitude and represents the average length of the arrows in the shear rosette. Because
5 TAWSS is a time averaged quantity, a higher weightage is given to WSS values that occur
6 over longer time durations. The mean shear stress (meanWSS) is the time average of the
7 WSS vector, ~~indicated with a magenta circle~~ (Figure 4b) **and** is not at the rosette centroid
8 because ~60% of the cardiac cycle (Figure 2a) relates to the dwell phase (shown using black
9 in the figure 4) when flow rate and WSS values are very small (meanWSS~1.36 Pa, and
10 TAWSS ~1.71 Pa).

11 **OSI relates oscillations in WSS about the origin along one direction (=0.09 for this**
12 **case) and does not reflect the presence of the disturbed flows (Ku *et al* 1985; Andersson *et al***
13 **2017).** A rosette that does not enclose the origin has an OSI =0 even though there may be
14 large variations in the WSS. The corresponding transWSS metric (=0.83), defined as the
15 time-averaged value of the transverse component of the WSS vector, is based on flow bi-
16 directionality and is related to the width of the rosette **when the principal direction is in the**
17 **direction of mean shear stress** (Table I). For flows with 0 mean WSS vector, such as in
18 purely oscillatory flows, purely circular flows, or where the dominant flow is oscillatory,
19 transWSS gives artefactual values (Peiffer *et al*, 2013). **Morbiducci and coworkers defined**
20 **the R measure as averaged ratio of the WSS in the secondary and axial directions over the**
21 **cardiac cycle (Morbiducci *et al*, 2015). Because this measure is an averaged quantity, it may**
22 **not give a complete description of the flow bidirectionality.** An alternate metric to capture
23 WSS bidirectionality is the anisotropic ratio (AR) which is calculated by taking the ratio of
24 projections of the shear rosette along the minor to the major axes,

$$25 \quad AR = \frac{\tau_{2(max)} - \tau_{2(min)}}{\tau_{1(max)} - \tau_{1(min)}} = \frac{L_2}{L_1} \quad (1)$$

1 AR is the ratio of the width to length of the rosette along the principal directions. AR has a
2 value of 0 for unidirectional flows and 1 for equibiaxial flows. AR=0.81 for the rosette in
3 Figure 4b.

4 Figures 4c and 4d show rosettes at points **G A** and **I C** (Figure 1a) along with values
5 of relevant flow metrics. The flow is symmetric about the medial plane where secondary
6 flows are absent. There are marked differences in the rosette shapes as we traverse from the
7 outer to the inner wall for a given transverse plane. The maximum bidirectionality is at ~
8 $\phi = 135^\circ$ (Figure 4b) where the secondary flow is the strongest. At $\phi = 45^\circ$, the secondary
9 flows are significantly weaker which results in a smaller rosette. The various shear metrics
10 are hence correspondingly smaller as compared to the rosette in Figure 4b. The shear rosette
11 at $\phi = 180^\circ$ (point I) corresponds to a unidirectional, oscillatory flow, thus AR and transWSS
12 values are both zero. Because of shear stress reversals, the OSI value is high (=0.48) and is
13 close to the maximum possible value of 0.5. The low flow rates during the diastolic phase
14 lead to small values of WSS at these locations.

15 ***3.3 Flow metrics in the control ICA and patient specific geometry of ICA with aneurysm***

16 The flow in the control ICA is mainly quasi-steady and unidirectional in the absence
17 of branches and other geometric distortions due to aneurysms etc. Secondary flow, caused by
18 curvature, is however generally present. Figure 5a show streamlines at peak velocity in a
19 control geometry of ICA which includes regions with curvatures ranging from 0.3-0.7.
20 Although, the average velocities in the ICA are similar in magnitude to those in the AA, the
21 WSS is significantly higher. Helical streamlines clearly show the presence of both axial and
22 secondary components of velocity. Secondary flow is clearly visible in the transverse cross-
23 section (Figure 5b) at point D corresponding to the point of high curvature where WSS value
24 is the maximum. We note that this flow is different from Dean's flow where we clearly see
25 the presence of two vortices with flow in the core moving radially outward (Berger *et al*,

1 1983). The shear rosette corresponding to this point demonstrates the unidirectional nature of
2 flow with significantly higher WSS variations as compared to those seen for AA (Figure 4).
3 Because the flow is unidirectional and the velocity magnitude is proportional to the flow rate
4 at that time, the shear rosettes lie nearly along straight lines. The TAWSS value at this point
5 (Table II) is lower than the rosette centroid value due to the low stress values which occur
6 over longer time durations when the flow rates are small.

7 The quasi-steady flow in control ICA makes the shear rosettes very different from
8 those in control AA. Stress rosettes at the other three locations (Figure 5c-f) show varying
9 magnitudes of mean and fluctuations in the WSS in addition to indicating the unidirectional
10 flows. At point C, WSS is along $\theta=315^\circ$ which indicates similar contributions from the axial
11 and secondary components. The rosettes for ICA show significantly higher values of WSS as
12 compared to AA. Because the rosettes do not cross the origin, the OSI values are zero at all
13 these locations. The AR and transWSS values are low in the control ICA in comparison to the
14 control AA due to the unidirectional flows in the ICA.

15 Flows in patient specific geometries are more complicated due to changing cross-
16 sections and the presence of branches that result in larger TAWSS variations. ICA with
17 aneurysm (Figure 6) has shear rosettes with irregular shapes as compared to AA. Rosettes
18 have nearly straight lines except at few locations that show some bi-directionality (e.g. points
19 A and C); the flow is nearly unidirectional at points B, D, and E. Figure 6d shows several
20 reversals in the transverse component of WSS about the principal axis. Within the aneurysm,
21 WSS values are low due to low velocities. In contrast, high values of WSS are seen
22 downstream of the aneurysm due to vessel lumen narrowing. **The curvatures in the complex
23 patient specific ICA geometry model were in the similar range ($0.3 \leq \beta \leq 0.7$) as the control
24 ICA geometry. However, the patient specific complex geometry shows higher values of
25 TAWSS, additional shear reversals, and the presence of shear stress bi-directionality as**

1 compared to control ICA. These factors may be causally linked to mechanobiological
2 processes that ultimately lead to aneurysm rupture or stability in these vessels.

3 ***3.4 Spatial distributions of flow metrics for control and patient specific geometries of aorta***

4 Spatial distributions of flow metrics (TAWSS, OSI, AR and transWSS) are shown for
5 the control AA and ICA in addition to patient specific geometries of AA and ICA in Figure 7.
6 The regions of high OSI values, just downstream of the curved section in the AA geometry,
7 are correlated with regions of low TAWSS where the flow is likely to be highly disturbed.
8 There is hence little correspondence between the distributions of OSI and transWSS metrics.
9 OSI has been widely used to explore pulsatility effects of the flow (Ku *et al* 1985) and relate
10 them to mass transport (~~Ku *et al* 1985~~) (Arzani *et.al*, 2017). The OSI is related to WSS
11 fluctuation about the origin in the rosette and relates neither to the amplitude of WSS
12 fluctuations nor the flow bi-directionality. Large OSI values are linked to changes in the WSS
13 vector that induces mass accumulation; low OSI correlates with regions that have smaller
14 changes in the WSS vector.

15 Regions of elevated AR in the control AA geometry correspond to regions where the
16 secondary flows are high (points B, E, H in Figure 1a; Figure 5). There are no secondary
17 flows in straight sections of tubes where $AR = 0$. AR and transWSS account for flow
18 bidirectionality, and values of these metrics are higher in curved sections of the patient
19 specific AA geometry due to presence of secondary flows (Figure 7).

20 Many locations in the ICA with aneurysm have TAWSS values > 10 that appear red
21 in the figure. In most locations, the AR values are low. In contrast to the control ICA, the AR
22 values are higher for the ICA with aneurysm and the flows are more bi-directional. The
23 transWSS metric is similar to AR since both metrics are related to the transverse component
24 of WSS. However, transWSS measures only the component perpendicular to the mean shear
25 stress vector and is dimensional. In contrast, AR is a non-dimensional quantity that is a direct

1 measure of bidirectionality. For example, the large magnitude of WSS over the cardiac cycle
2 is responsible for the relatively high transWSS in regions where the secondary flows are
3 present although the rosette has low bi-directionality.

4 Shear rosette descriptions at critical locations, identified from spatial distributions of
5 the different metrics (example Figure 7), are essential in linking the flow physics to the
6 underlying endothelial mechanobiology. **The endothelial cell monolayer provides a direct
7 link between fluid stress and the vessel wall constituents.** EC morphology is elongated in the
8 direction of flow under unidirectional flow conditions (Davies *et al*, 1984; Chien, 2007; Chiu
9 and Chien, 2011; Baeyens and Schwartz, 2016). **Disturbed blood flows, caused by flow
10 recirculation, separations, and other phenomena, play a critical role in vascular homeostasis
11 through changes to the gene expression levels of various atherogenic and thromogenic
12 signaling molecules in the EC monolayer. These changes are shown to enhance endothelial
13 turnover and modulate smooth muscle cell migrations in the arterial wall (Langille and
14 O'Donnell, 1986; Cheng *et al*, 2006; Chiu and Chien, 2011).** Cellular morphology alters to a
15 less organized one with near-polygonal shapes in regions of disturbed flows that induce and
16 sustain the activation of several atherogenic genes (Davies, 1995; Chiu and Chien, 2011). **Ku
17 and coworkers were among the earliest researchers to explore the correlations between
18 atherosclerosis-prone regions in arteries with disturbed flows (Ku *et al*, 1985). ECs do not
19 show their characteristic elongated phenotype in atheroprone regions which suggests a causal
20 role for cellular alignment in modulating inflammatory pathways. Based on these studies,
21 they hypothesized the importance of oscillatory shear reversals in plaque formation. In vitro
22 studies of perpendicular flows to aligned EC monolayers shows an increase in inflammatory
23 pathways as compared to flows in the aligned cell orientations that have anti-inflammatory
24 signaling (Wang *et al*, 2013). The transverse component of WSS may hence play a dominant
25 role in plaque formation rather than WSS oscillations (Peiffer *et al.*, 2013; Mohamied *et al.*,**

1 2015). Multidirectional flow metrics, including DOSI, transWSS, and decomposition of WSS
2 along the axial and secondary directions, have been used to characterize regions of disturbed
3 flow dynamics and linked to endothelial monolayer permeability, inflammatory markers, and
4 remodeling (Chakraborty *et al*, 2012; Peiffer *et al*, 2013; Morbiducci *et al*, 2015; Dabagh *et*
5 *al*, 2017). Changes in the flow dynamics near the wall affects species transport and increases
6 cell permeability. These lead to increased leucocyte transmigration in the wall and a
7 subsequent degradation of the extracellular matrix (Wilson *et al*, 2012; Babu *et al*, 2015;
8 Arzani and Shadden, 2016; Dabagh *et al*, 2017). Experimental studies show that the dominant
9 principal flow direction is a better predictor of cellular alignment under bidirectional flows;
10 EC align to minimize the shear stress along the transverse axis (Ghim *et al*, 2017;
11 Chakraborty *et al*, 2012). **Mechanosensors that are hypothesized to mediate the endothelial
12 response to shear stress include the endothelial glycocalyx, G protein-coupled receptors, ion
13 channels, adherens junctions and integrin-mediated cell–matrix adhesions (Chiu and Chien,
14 2011; Baeyens *et al*, 2014; Peiffer *et al.*, 2013). Recent studies show the importance of a
15 transmembrane proteoglycan, syndecan-4, in the directional mechano-sensing adaption
16 response of ECs (Baeyens *et al*, 2014). The specific mechanisms of directional WSS sensing
17 by EC and their links to plaque formation are, however, presently unknown.** Shear rosettes
18 give detailed information of the flow dynamics allowing links between mechanics and
19 biology.

20 **4. Conclusions**

21 We compared the distributions of TAWSS, OSI, and transWSS in control and patient
22 specific geometries of AA with aneurysm and the ICA with aneurysm. We use a shear rosette
23 representation to give a complete and immediate picture of the variations in the WSS over a
24 cardiac cycle. Most existing metrics can be immediately assessed using the rosette geometric
25 properties. The rosette centroid is related to the mean WSS, splay about the origin gives the

1 OSI values, it's width yields an estimate of transWSS value when the principal direction is in
2 the direction of mean shear stress, and the ratio of the length to the width of the rosette gives
3 the AR. TAWSS gives the time average of the WSS alone but does not characterize the
4 temporal variations of magnitude and direction of WSS which occur during the cardiac cycle.
5 OSI captures the pulsatility during the cardiac cycle only when the WSS values cross the
6 origin and also does not account for the flow bidirectionality. The AR metric proposed in this
7 study is a direct measure of the ratio of the two transverse components when resolved along
8 the principal directions. The transWSS and AR metrics capture the bi-directional nature of
9 the flow and hence yield similar distributions in the geometries considered in our study.
10 However, in contrast to transWSS, AR is a direct measure of bidirectionality, is bounded
11 between 0 and 1, and is non-dimensional. The shear rosette is a more fundamental and
12 complete representation of the flow dynamics in arteries and may be used to better assess the
13 correlation between WSS and EC morphologies.

14 **5. Acknowledgements**

15 We thank Amartya Gupta for help with geometry reconstruction of the ICA reported
16 in this study. NG acknowledges financial support from the Department of Biotechnology
17 (BT/PR13926/MED/31/97/2010). PW acknowledges financial support from UK EPSRC
18 (EP/N014642/1). PW and NG thank joint funding through the Department of Science and
19 Technology-UKIERI (DST/INT/UK/P-68/2014).

6. Conflict of interest statement

None of the authors have any conflicts of interests to declare regarding the submission.

7. Appendix A. Patient specific models of arteries

CT images were acquired before the surgical repair and the vessel geometries were extracted using Mimics 10.01 (Materialise, Leuven, Belgium). The CT data has voxel dimensions of $1.24 \times 1.24 \times 0.789 \text{ mm}^3$. The images were segmented to isolate the aorta and bones from the surrounding soft tissue, and a region growing algorithm was used to create a mask for reconstructing the 3D model. The mask was truncated above the coronary arteries and in the region below the descending aorta above the renal arteries. The Branchiocephalic Artery (BCA), the Left Common Carotid Artery (LCCA), and the Left Subclavian Artery (LSA), and all other intercoastal arteries were truncated; the latter accounts for less than 1% of the blood flow (Olufsen *et al* 2000). In addition, the BCA, LCCA and LSA were clipped where the BCA splits into the right common carotid artery and the right subclavian artery. This cropped mask was used to construct the initial 3D geometry as an STL file using contour interpolation between the CT scanned slices. Finally, each clipped branch was extended in length by approximately one diameter. The inlet of the curved section was about 8 diameters in length and the outlet was 4 diameters in length. Because the chosen inlet length was $> \int_0^T U_i(t)dt$, we expect the presence of fully developed flows in the curved section. $U_i(t)$ is the area averaged axial velocity and T the time period of the cardiac cycle. The full extended lengths at the inlet and outlet of the control AA used in the computation are not shown in Figure 1a. Figure 1b shows the AA geometry with aneurysm before surgery.

In addition to the AA geometry, we used a realistic model (patient id: C0088b) of ICA, available at open-source aneurisk database, that was reconstructed with constant

1 diameter from the centreline coordinates of the artery (Aneurisk-Team, 2012). The sub-
2 branches were removed, and the inlet and outlet sections were added similar to the procedure
3 for the control AA model. The final geometry had curvatures in multiple planes with no sub-
4 branches with a length of 72 mm from the inlet and served as a control ICA geometry (Figure
5 1c). The maximum curvature ratio was ~ 0.7 in the control geometry of the ICA. We also
6 selected the geometry of a patient with sidewall intracranial aneurysm (Figure 1d) using the
7 European @neurIST project (Villa-Uriol *et al*, 2011, Chen *et al*, 2013). Typical Re , α and
8 Dean's numbers in the AA are ~ 3000 , 34 and ~ 1900 whereas these are ~ 450 , 3 and ~ 400 for
9 the ICA. The values of β for the AA are ~ 0.38 and range from 0.3-0.7 in the ICA.

10 Reconstructed geometries were imported into ANSYS® ICEM CFD 13.0 (ANSYS
11 Inc., Canonsburg, Pennsylvania) and unstructured meshes for the control geometries were
12 created that contained hexahedral elements. ~~The realistic Patient specific AA and ICA~~
13 geometries also contained tetrahedral elements. A finer mesh using prism layers was used to
14 resolve the unsteady boundary layer ($\delta \sim \sqrt{\nu T}$; where ν is the kinematic viscosity of blood
15 and T is the time period of the cardiac cycle) in all the different geometries. The boundary
16 layer consisted of 20 prism layers with a first length of $14 \mu m$ that corresponded to the
17 distance of first cell from the wall; subsequent cell sizes increased with growth rate of 1.2.
18 The boundary layer mesh hence transitioned uniformly into the core mesh. ~~The total number~~
19 ~~of elements were 2,691,000 in the AA control, 5,883,547 for the patient specific AA with~~
20 ~~aneurysm, 2,362,320 elements for the control ICA, and 3,705,990 elements for the patient~~
21 ~~specific ICA with aneurysm.~~

22 The governing Navier-Stokes equations were solved using a commercial finite-
23 volume based solver (ANSYS® FLUENT 13.0). Transient terms were integrated using a
24 second order implicit method. A second order upwind scheme was used to discretize the
25 convection terms, and the diffusion terms were central differenced to be accurate in their

1 second order. We use SIMPLEC algorithm for pressure velocity coupling and the flow was
2 assumed to be laminar within the domain (Mohamied *et al*, 2017, Vasava *et al*, 2011). We did
3 not include turbulence models in the AA cases as the flows are unsteady and do not become
4 fully turbulent. Grid and flow cycle independence were not achieved for the patient specific
5 AA geometry with aneurysm. (need to write something here to wrap up) The chosen time
6 step was 10^{-3} s and the criterion for convergence for residuals was set below 10^{-5} for each
7 of the continuity and the three velocity components in each case. Grid independence studies
8 were carried out based on the following criterion: the RMS difference of WSS between
9 successive meshes was less than 2% of the RMS of the WSS over one cardiac cycle. The
10 number of cells were doubled until this criterion was met. Flow cycle independence was also
11 checked until the shear rosettes were time periodic to eliminate the initial transient effects.
12 Four cardiac cycles were simulated and results corresponding to the last cycle are reported in
13 this study.

14
15
16

8. Appendix B. Principal directions in the shear rosette

The rosette is represented using an orthogonal set of coordinates, \hat{s} and \hat{b} , which are the axial and secondary flow directions respectively. Let '1' and '2' represent the two principal directions, θ be the angle between the instantaneous shear stress vector $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ and \hat{s} , and ϕ be the angle between the directions '1' and \hat{s} . τ_1 is the projection of $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ on direction 1 and τ_2 is the projection on direction 2. By definition (Chakraborty *et al.*, 2012),

$$\int_0^T \tau_1 \tau_2 dt = 0 \quad (1)$$

where T is the time period of the cardiac cycle. and τ_{mag} is the magnitude of the $\boldsymbol{\tau}$. We show that the above relation is equivalent to either maximizing $\int_0^T \tau_1^2 dt$ in one principal direction and minimizing $\int_0^T \tau_2^2 dt$ in the other principal direction, "2". We compute the principal directions from the shear stress distributions.

$$\tau_1(t) = \tau_{mag} \cos(\theta(t) - \phi) \quad (2)$$

$$\tau_2(t) = \tau_{mag} \sin(\theta(t) - \phi) \quad (3)$$

$$\tau_{mag}^2 = \tau_1^2 + \tau_2^2 \quad (4)$$

Substituting in equation 1, we get,

$$\int_0^T \tau_{mag}^2(t) \sin(2(\theta(t) - \phi)) dt = 0 \quad (5)$$

Because ϕ is an independent variable, we can rewrite this expression as a maximization or a minimization problem as:

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \left(\int_0^T \tau_{mag}^2(t) \cos(2(\theta(t) - \phi)) dt \right) = 0 \quad (6)$$

Thus, the integral in the above expression reaches an extremum at a particular value of ϕ which gives the principal directions. The integral in equation (6) can be rewritten as,

$$I = \int_0^T (\tau_{mag}^2(t) \cos^2(\theta(t) - \phi) - \tau_{mag}^2 \sin^2(\theta(t) - \phi)) dt \quad (7)$$

Using equations (2-4), we get

1
$$I = \int_0^T (\boldsymbol{\tau}_1^2(t) - \boldsymbol{\tau}_2^2(t)) dt \quad (8)$$

2
$$I = \int_0^T (\boldsymbol{\tau}_{mag}^2(t) - 2\boldsymbol{\tau}_2^2(t)) dt \quad (9)$$

3
$$I = \int_0^T (2\boldsymbol{\tau}_1^2(t) - \boldsymbol{\tau}_{mag}^2(t)) dt \quad (10)$$

4 Because $\boldsymbol{\tau}_{mag}(\mathbf{t})$ is independent of the choice of reference direction,

5
$$\int_0^T \boldsymbol{\tau}_{mag}^2(t) dt = const \quad (11)$$

6 This procedure takes into account the time spent by the WSS vector at each location over the
7 cardiac cycle.

8

9. References

- 1 **9. References**
- 2
- 3 Andersson, M., Lantz, J., Ebbers, T., & Karlsson, M. 2017. Multidirectional WSS
- 4 disturbances in stenotic turbulent flows: A pre-and post-intervention study in an aortic
- 5 coarctation. *Journal of Biomechanics*, *51*, 8-16.
- 6 Aneurisk-Team 2012. ‘{AneuriskWeb project website},
- 7 <http://ecm2.mathcs.emory.edu/aneuriskweb>’. Available at:
- 8 <http://ecm2.mathcs.emory.edu/aneuriskweb>.
- 9 Aparicio, P., Mandalsti, A., Boamah, J., Chen, H., Selimovic, A., Bratby, M., Uberoi, R.,
- 10 Ventikos, Y., Watton, P.N. 2014. Modelling the influence of endothelial heterogeneity on
- 11 progression of arterial disease: Application to abdominal aortic aneurysm evolution.
- 12 *International Journal of Numerical Methods in Biomedical Engineering* *30*(5), 563-583.
- 13 Arzani, A., Gambaruto, A.M., Chen, G., Shadden, S.C. 2016. Lagrangian wall shear stress
- 14 structures and near-wall transport in high-Schmidt-number aneurysmalflows. *Journal of Fluid*
- 15 *Mechanics* *790*, 158–172.
- 16 Arzani, A. and Shadden, S.C. 2016. Characterizations and correlations of wall shear stress in
- 17 aneurysmal flow. *Journal of Biomechanical Engineering* *138*,14503.
- 18 Arzani, A., Gambaruto, A.M., Chen, G., Shadden, S.C.2017. Wall shear stress exposure time:
- 19 a Lagrangian measure of near-wall stagnation and concentration in cardiovascular flows.
- 20 *Biomechanics and Modeling in Mechanobiology* *16*,787-803.
- 21 Arzani, A. and Shadden, S.C.2018. Wall shear stress fixed points in cardiovascular fluid
- 22 mechanics. *Journal of Biomechanics* *73*,145-152.
- 23 Asakura, T. and Karino, T. 1990. Flow patterns and spatial distribution of atherosclerotic
- 24 lesions in human coronary arteries. *Circulation Research* *66*, 1045–1066.
- 25 Babu, A.R., Byju, A.G. and Gundiah, N.2015. Biomechanical properties of human ascending

1 thoracic aortic dissections. *Journal of Biomechanical Engineering* 137, 81013.

2 Baeyens, N., Bandyopadhyay, C., Coon, B. G., Yun, S., & Schwartz, M. A. 2016. Endothelial
3 fluid shear stress sensing in vascular health and disease. *The Journal of Clinical*
4 *Investigation*, 126(3), 821-828.

5 Baeyens, N. and Schwartz, M.A. 2016. Biomechanics of vascular mechanosensation and
6 remodeling. *Molecular biology of the Cell*. 7–11.

7 Berger, S. A., Talbot, L. and Yao, L. S. 1983 Flow in curved pipes. *Annual Reviews of Fluid*
8 *Mechanics* 15, 461–512.

9 Berger, S.A., Goldsmith, W. and Lewis, E.R. 1996. *Introduction to Bioengineering*. Oxford
10 University Press, USA.

11 Bulusu, K.V. and Plesniak, M.W. 2013. Secondary flow morphologies due to model stent-
12 induced perturbations in a 180° curved tube during systolic deceleration. *Experiments in*
13 *Fluids* 54, 1–13.

14 Caro, C.G., Fitz-Gerald, J.M. and Schroter, R.C. 1971. Atheroma and arterial wall shear
15 observation, correlation and proposal of a shear dependent mass transfer mechanism for
16 atherogenesis. *Proceedings of the Royal Society, London B: Biol. Science*. 177,109–133.

17 Chakraborty, A., Chakraborty, S., Jala, V. R., Haribabu, B., Sharp, M. K., & Berson, R. E.
18 2012. Effects of biaxial oscillatory shear stress on endothelial cell proliferation and
19 morphology. *Biotechnology and Bioengineering*, 109(3), 695-707.

20 Chandran, K.B. and Yearwood, T.L. 1981. Experimental study of physiological pulsatile flow
21 in a curved tube. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics* 111,59–85.

22 Chatzizisis, Y. S., Coskun, A. U., Jonas, M., Edelman, E. R., Feldman, C. L., & Stone, P. H.
23 2007. Role of endothelial shear stress in the natural history of coronary atherosclerosis and
24 vascular remodeling: molecular, cellular, and vascular behavior. *Journal of the American*
25 *College of Cardiology*, 49(25), 2379-2393.

1 Chen, H., Selimovic, A., Thompson, H., Chiarini, A., Penrose, J., Ventikos, Y., & Watton, P.
2 N. 2013. Investigating the influence of haemodynamic stimuli on intracranial aneurysm
3 inception. *Annals of Biomedical Engineering*, 41(7), 1492-1504.

4 Chien, S. 2007. Mechanotransduction and endothelial cell homeostasis: the wisdom of the
5 cell. *American Journal of Physiology, Heart and Circulatory Physiology* 292, H1209-H1224.

6 Chiu, J.J. and Chien, S. 2011. Effects of disturbed flow on vascular endothelium:
7 pathophysiological basis and clinical perspectives. *Physiological Reviews* 91, 327–387.

8 Dabagh, M., Jalali, P., Butler, P. J., Randles, A., & Tarbell, J. M. 2017. Mechanotransmission
9 in endothelial cells subjected to oscillatory and multi-directional shear flow. *Journal of the*
10 *Royal Society Interface*, 14(130), 20170185.

11 Davies, P. F., Dewey, C. F., Bussolari, S. R., Gordon, E. J., & Gimbrone, M. A. 1984.
12 Influence of hemodynamic forces on vascular endothelial function. In vitro studies of shear
13 stress and pinocytosis in bovine aortic cells. *The Journal of clinical investigation*, 73(4),
14 1121-1129.

15 Davies, P.F. 1995. Flow-mediated endothelial mechanotransduction. *Physiological Reviews*
16 75,519–560.

17 Ghim, M., Alpresa, P., Yang, S. W., Braakman, S. T., Gray, S. G., Sherwin, S. J., van
18 Reeuwijk, M. & Weinberg, P. D. 2017. Visualization of three pathways for macromolecule
19 transport across cultured endothelium and their modification by flow. *American Journal of*
20 *Physiology-Heart and Circulatory Physiology*, 313(5), H959-H973.

21 Glenn, A. L., Bulusu, K. V., Shu, F., & Plesniak, M. W. 2012. Secondary flow structures
22 under stent-induced perturbations for cardiovascular flow in a curved artery
23 model. *International Journal of Heat and Fluid Flow*, 35, 76-83.

24 Hamakiotes, C.C. and Berger, S.A. 1988. Fully developed pulsatile flow in a curved pipe.
25 *Journal of Fluid Mechanics* 195, 23–55.

1 He, X.J. and Ku, D.N. 1996. Pulsatile flow in the human left coronary artery bifurcation:
2 Average conditions. *Journal of Biomechanical Engineering* 118, 74–82. doi:
3 10.1115/1.2795948.

4 Ho, H., Suresh, V., Kang, W., Cooling, M. T., Watton, P. N., & Hunter, P. J. 2011.
5 Multiscale modeling of intracranial aneurysms: cell signaling, hemodynamics, and
6 remodeling. *IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering*, 58(10), 2974-2977.

7 Jarrahi, M., Castelain, C. and Peerhossaini, H. 2011. Laminar sinusoidal and pulsatile flows
8 in a curved pipe. *Journal of Applied Fluid Mechanics* 4,21–26.

9 Komai, Y. and Tanishita, K. 1997. Fully developed intermittent flow in a curved tube.
10 *Journal of Fluid Mechanics* 347,263–287.

11 Krishna, C.V., Gundiah, N. & Arakeri, J.H. 2017. Separations and secondary structures due
12 to unsteady flow in a curved pipe. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics* 815, 26–59.

13 Ku, D. N., Giddens, D. P., Zarins, C. K., & Glagov, S. 1985. Pulsatile flow and
14 atherosclerosis in the human carotid bifurcation. Positive correlation between plaque location
15 and low oscillating shear stress. *Arteriosclerosis: An Official Journal of the American Heart*
16 *Association, Inc.*, 5(3), 293-302.

17 Ku, D.N. 1997. Blood flow in arteries. *Annual Review of Fluid Mechanics* 29, 399–434.

18 Kulcsár, Z., Ugron, A., Marosfői, M., Berentei, Z., Paál, G., & Szikora, I. 2011.
19 Hemodynamics of cerebral aneurysm initiation: the role of wall shear stress and spatial wall
20 shear stress gradient. *American Journal of Neuroradiology*, 32 (3), 587-594.

21 Malek, A.M., Alper, S.L. and Izumo, S. 1999. Hemodynamic shear stress and its role in
22 atherosclerosis. *JAMA* 282, 2035–2042.

23 Mantha, A., Karmonik, C., Benndorf, G., Strother, C., & Metcalfe, R. 2006. Hemodynamics
24 in a cerebral artery before and after the formation of an aneurysm. *American Journal of*
25 *Neuroradiology*, 27(5), 1113-1118.

1 Mohamied, Y., Sherwin, S.J. and Weinberg, P.D. 2017. Understanding the fluid mechanics
2 behind transverse wall shear stress. *Journal of Biomechanics* 50, 102–109.

3 Morbiducci, U. *et al* 2015. A rational approach to defining principal axes of multidirectional
4 wall shear stress in realistic vascular geometries, with application to the study of the
5 influence of helical flow on wall shear stress directionality in aorta. *Journal of Biomechanics*
6 48, 899–906.

7 Olufsen, M. S., Peskin, C. S., Kim, W. Y., Pedersen, E. M., Nadim, A., & Larsen, J. (2000).
8 Numerical simulation and experimental validation of blood flow in arteries with structured-
9 tree outflow conditions. *Annals of Biomedical Engineering*, 28(11), 1281-1299.

10 Peiffer, V., Sherwin, S.J. and Weinberg, P.D. 2013. Computation in the rabbit aorta of a new
11 metric the transverse wall shear stress to quantify the multidirectional character of disturbed
12 blood flow. *Journal of Biomechanics* 46, 2651–2658.

13 Peiffer, V., Sherwin, S.J. and Weinberg, P.D. 2013. Does low and oscillatory wall shear
14 stress correlate spatially with early atherosclerosis? A systematic review. *Cardiovascular*
15 *Research*, 99,242–250.

16 Penn, D.L., Komotar, R.J. and Connolly, E.S. 2011 Hemodynamic mechanisms underlying
17 cerebral aneurysm pathogenesis. *Journal of Clinical Neuroscience* 18, 1435–1438.

18 Potter, C. M., Lundberg, M. H., Harrington, L. S., Warboys, C. M., Warner, T. D., Berson, R.
19 E., Moshkov, A.V., Gorelik, J., Weinberg, P.D. and Mitchell, J. A. (2011). Role of shear
20 stress in endothelial cell morphology and expression of cyclooxygenase
21 isoforms. *Arteriosclerosis, Thrombosis, and Vascular Biology*, 31(2), 384-391.

22 Rachev, A. 2000. A model of arterial adaptation to alterations in blood flow', *Journal of*
23 *Elasticity* 61, 83–112.

1 Reymond, P., Merenda, F., Perren, F., Rufenacht, D., & Stergiopoulos, N. (2009). Validation
2 of a one-dimensional model of the systemic arterial tree. *American Journal of Physiology-*
3 *Heart and Circulatory Physiology*, 297(1), H208-H222.

4 Robertson, A.M. and Watton, P.N. 2012. Computational fluid dynamics in aneurysm
5 research: critical reflections, future directions. *American Journal of Neuroradiology* 33, 992–
6 995.

7 Selimovic, A., Ventikos, Y., Watton, P.N. 2014. Modelling the evolution of cerebral
8 aneurysms: Biomechanics Mechanobiology and multiscale modelling. *Proceedings of the 23rd*
9 *International Congress on Theoretical and Applied Mechanics* 10, 396-409.

10 Sudo, K., Sumida, M. and Yamane, R.1992. Secondary motion of fully developed oscillatory
11 flow in a curved pipe. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics* 237, 189–208.

12 Suo, J., Ferrara, D. E., Sorescu, D., Guldberg, R. E., Taylor, W. R., & Giddens, D. P. (2007).
13 Hemodynamic shear stresses in mouse aortas: implications for
14 atherogenesis. *Arteriosclerosis, Thrombosis, and Vascular Biology*, 27(2), 346-351.

15 Talbot, L. and Gong, K.O. 1983. Pulsatile entrance flow in a curved pipe. *Journal of Fluid*
16 *Mechanics* 127, 1–25.

17 Timité, B., Castelain, C. and Peerhossaini, H. 2010. Pulsatile viscous flow in a curved pipe:
18 Effects of pulsation on the development of secondary flow. *International Journal of Heat*
19 *Fluid Flow* 31, 879–896.

20 Topper, J.N. and Gimbrone, Jr M.A. 1999. Blood flow and vascular gene expression: fluid
21 shear stress as a modulator of endothelial phenotype. *Molecular Medicine Today* 5, 40–46.

22 Villa-Uriol, M. C., Berti, G., Hose, D. R., Marzo, A., Chiarini, A., Penrose, J Pozo, J.,
23 Schmidt, J.G., Singh, P., Lycett, R., Larrabide, I.& Frangi, A.F. 2011. @ neurIST complex
24 information processing toolchain for the integrated management of cerebral
25 aneurysms. *Interface Focus*, 1(3), 308-319.

1 Wang, C., Baker, B. M., Chen, C. S., & Schwartz, M. A. 2013. Endothelial cell sensing of
2 flow direction. *Arteriosclerosis, Thrombosis, and Vascular Biology*, 33(9), 2130-2136.

3 Wilson, J.S., Baek, S. and Humphrey, J.D. 2012. Importance of initial aortic properties on the
4 evolving regional anisotropy, stiffness and wall thickness of human abdominal aortic
5 aneurysms. *Journal of Royal Society Interface* rsif20120097.

6 Yearwood, T.L. and Chandran, K.B. 1982. Physiological pulsatile flow experiments in a
7 model of the human aortic arch. *Journal of Biomechanics* 15, 683–704.

8 Zarins, C. K., Giddens, D. P., Bharadvaj, B. K., Sottiurai, V. S., Mabon, R. F., & Glagov, S.
9 1983. Carotid bifurcation atherosclerosis. Quantitative correlation of plaque localization with
10 flow velocity profiles and wall shear stress. *Circulation Research*, 53(4), 502-514.

11

10. List of Figures

Figure 1: Geometries of the arterial vessels used in CFD simulations are shown for (a) AA (control) with 180° curved section ($2a = 32$ mm, $\beta=0.38$). (b) patient specific geometry of AA with aneurysm, and (c) Control case of ICA reconstructed from aneurisk database (Aneurisk-Team, 2012), and (d) patient specific geometry of ICA with aneurysm.

Figure 2: Inlet flow rate waveforms which were used in CFD simulations are indicated. (a) Input waveforms for AA is shown along with flow ~~rates~~ in the branches with the corresponding waveform for (b) ICA. The acceleration phase is marked in red and deceleration phase is marked in blue. The inlet flow rate in the diastolic phase is marked in black; the maximum acceleration and deceleration points are indicated using a cross. **Filled circles correspond to the maximum acceleration (red) and deceleration (blue) points.**

Figure 3: Velocity contours with superimposed velocity vectors in the medial and three transverse planes are shown for the control AA geometry. The full extended lengths at the inlet and outlet used in the computation are not shown. The three transverse planes, labelled as P45, P90, and P135, are 45°, 90°, and 135° respectively from the inlet. Results are shown at two time points of the cardiac cycle corresponding to (a) peak velocity of systole ($t = 0.14$ s), and (b) end of systole ($t = 0.35$ s), which are shown with a black cross in Figure 2. Collision of the secondary flows in the medial plane causes a radially outward propagating jet and a pair of vortices.

Figure 4: Shear rosettes show variations in shear stresses over one cardiac cycle for the accelerating (red), decelerating (blue), and stop phase of the cycle (black) for (a) AA control at point B in Figure 1a. The two perpendicular black lines are the principal directions of the rosette marked as \hat{s} and \hat{b} , **shown in the figure**, that correspond to the axial and secondary flow directions. **Angular positions are marked at every 30° in the anticlockwise direction**

1 starting from the reference direction, \hat{s} (b) The shear rosette is shown for ~~the same~~ point B
2 with the mean WSS, transWSS, and AR metrics given by points marked on the rosette. (c)
3 Rosettes are shown at point A marked on plane P45 and (d) Point C marked on plane P45.
4 WSS values are shown for each rosette in magenta. Points corresponding to the maximum
5 acceleration (red), deceleration (blue), and mean value of WSS (black) are indicated using
6 filled circles. Values of the relevant flow metrics at these locations are also shown.

7 **Figure 5:** (a) Streamlines, corresponding to the peak velocity, are shown for the control ICA.
8 (b) Axial velocity contours with superimposed velocity vectors are shown for the highlighted
9 plane. (c-f) Shear stress rosettes are plotted corresponding to the points A-D marked in (a).

10 **Figure 6:** Streamlines are shown for the ICA with aneurysm. Shear stress rosettes are plotted
11 at points (A-E) indicated on the surface.

12 **Figure 7:** TAWSS, OSI, AR, transWSS metrics are plotted for (a) control, (b) patient specific
13 AA geometry with aneurysm, (c) Control ICA, and (d) patient specific model of ICA with
14 aneurysm.

15

16

17 11. List of Tables


18 **Table I:** Definitions and a brief description of select shear metrics in this study.

19 **Table II:** Values of the different shear metrics for control ICA geometry and patient specific
20 ICA with aneurysm are given at selected points in Figure 5 and Figure 6.

21

22

1 **Figure 1**
2




3
4


1 **Figure 2**

2
3

(a)





(b)



4
5
6


1 **Figure 3**

2
3



4


1 **Figure 4**
2



	$ \text{WSS}_{\text{mean}} $ (Pa)	TAWSS (Pa)	transWSS (Pa)	OSI	AR
Point A	0.54	0.76	0.4	0.15	0.4
Point B	1.36	1.71	0.83	0.09	0.81
Point C	0.06	1.34	0.0	0.48	0.0


3

1 **Figure 5**
2




3
4

1 **Figure 6**
2



3

1 **Figure 7**
2
3



4
5

1 **Table I:**

	Equation	Description	Reference
Time Averaged Wall Shear Stress (TAWSS)	$TAWSS = \frac{1}{T} \int_{nT}^{nT+T} \vec{\tau} dt$	Time average of the WSS magnitude over a cardiac cycle	(He and Ku, 1996)
Mean shear stress ($\vec{\tau}_{mean}$)	$\vec{\tau}_{mean} = \frac{1}{T} \int_{nT}^{nT+T} \vec{\tau} dt$	Time average of the WSS vector over a cardiac cycle	(Arzani and Shadden, 2016)
Oscillatory shear index (OSI)	$OSI = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ 1 - \frac{ \vec{\tau}_{mean} }{TAWSS} \right\}$	Oscillatory variation in the WSS	(Ku <i>et al</i> , 1985)
TransWSS	$transWSS = \frac{1}{T} \int_{nT}^{nT+T} \left \vec{\tau} \cdot \left(\vec{n} \times \frac{\vec{\tau}_{mean}}{ \vec{\tau}_{mean} } \right) \right dt$	Time averaged magnitude of WSS components normal to the mean shear stress vector and the local surface normal (\vec{n})	(Peiffer <i>et al</i> , 2013)
Anisotropy ratio (AR)	$AR = \frac{(\tau_{2(max)} - \tau_{2(min)})}{(\tau_{1(max)} - \tau_{1(min)})}$	The minimum ratio of breadth to the length of a rectangular box bounding the shear rosette. 1 and 2 are principal directions of the shear rosette	Current study

2

1 **Table II**

	Location	OSI	TAWSS (Pa)	AR	transWSS (Pa)
Control ICA	A	0.00	2.43	0.11	0.11
	B	0.00	1.03	0.1	0.04
	C	0.00	4.11	0.26	0.25
	D	0.00	9.61	0.08	0.21
Aneurysm ICA	A	0.00	2.25	0.43	0.46
	B	0.00	5.29	0.13	0.16
	C	0.00	2.44	0.31	0.28
	D	0.00	15.48	0.08	0.38
	E	0.00	16.51	0.13	0.50

2

3